1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 PERFECT 10 INC, No. C-12-01521-WHA (DMR) 12 Plaintiff(s), REVISED ORDER DENYING **DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE** 13 DISCOVERY LETTER [DOCKET NO. v. **186] AS MOOT** 14 YANDEX NV, 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 The court is in receipt of Defendants' ex parte discovery letter dated July 11, 2013. [Docket No. 186.] In that letter, Defendants' seek an order compelling Plaintiff to permit Defendants' 18 19 experts to review confidential documents. On July 12, 2013, Plaintiff filed a letter stating, "To 20 avoid burdening the Court, Perfect 10 agrees to allow Defendants['] . . . experts to review 21 confidential and [Attorneys Eyes Only] material." [Docket No. 188 at 1.] Thus, the issue raised in 22 Defendants' letter appears to have been resolved. 23 Accordingly, Defendants' letter is **denied as moot**. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: July 12, 2013 27 DONNA M. RYU 28 United States Magistrate Judge